

Journal of Power Sources 81-82 (1999) 891-895



www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Room temperature molten salt as medium for lithium battery

Y.S. Fung *, R.Q. Zhou

Department of Chemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

Abstract

Due to the wide electrochemical window and high ionic conductivity, the 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride (MeEtImCl) room temperature molten salt (RTMS) was investigated as the medium for lithium battery in the present work. The addition of $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ to the RTMS was shown to improve its chemical stability and the reversibility of the lithium electrode because of the removal of $Al_2Cl_7^-$ from the melt. Electrochemical reaction which occurred at the LiCoO₂ was studied and the carbon current collector was found to interact with the melt. Out of the various carbon materials studied, graphite was found to be the best material. A LiAl/LiCoO₂ battery using RTMS as the electrolyte was assembled for battery test. Satisfactory results were obtained in preliminary cycling, showing a cell voltage of 3.45 V with better than 90% coulombic efficiency and a discharging capacity of 112 mA h/g LiCoO₂ at current density of 1 mA/cm². © 1999 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Room temperature molten salt; Lithium battery; Aluminium chloride; Lithium cobalt oxide; 1-Methyl-3-ethyl-imidazolium chloride; C₆H₅SO₂Cl

1. Introduction

The recently developed room temperature molten salt (RTMS) comprising of the 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride (MeEtImCl) and aluminium chloride has been shown to possess desirable properties of high conductivity, non-flammability, thermal stability and chemical inertness [1,2]. The neutral molten salt (AlCl₃/MeEtImCl = 1) enriched by LiAlCl₄ exhibited wide electrochemical window of 4.5 V [3], leading to the present study of its applicability as the medium for lithium battery.

In order to provide a suitable medium for lithium battery, the RTMS medium has to be characterised, in particular, the electrokinetic characteristics of the highly reactive lithium electrodes. Several additives such as benzene, water, HCl and SOCl₂ had been found to lower the cathodic limit of the RTMS and improve the reversibility of the lithium electrode [4,5]. The reports of reversible co-deposition of LiAl on aluminium electrode or lithium electrode [6,7] and the reversible intercalation of lithium ions into Na_{0.7}K_{0.3}V₃O₈ compound [8] provide additional indication that the RTMS is a feasible medium for lithium battery.

In the present work, the applicability of the RTMS as the medium for lithium battery was investigated. The effectiveness of $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ as an additive to neutralize excessive amount of $Al_2Cl_7^-$ was studied using cyclic voltammetry. The electrochemical characteristics of different electrode materials such as lithium, aluminium, carbon and LiCoO₂ were determined. A preliminary cycling test was conducted on a lithium battery in the RTMS based on the LiAl/LiCoO₂ system and its initial performance are reported and the results discussed in this paper.

2. Experimental

The RTMS was made up by mixing appropriate amount of MeEtImCl, aluminium chloride and LiCl. All chemicals were supplied from Aldrich and purified using previously reported methods [9]. The acidic binary melt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃ = 1/1.20) was prepared initially prior to the addition of solid LiCl to make up the slightly acidic melt. The whole process took 24 h under constant stirring and excess amount of solid LiCl was filtered off to obtain the slightly acidic melt. The molar ratios of the constituents of the melt assayed by atomic absorption spectrophotometry were: MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.00/1.20/0.15. The additive, C₆H₅SO₂Cl, was added in the final step to make its concentration up to 0.05 mol/kg melt.

 $LiCoO_2$ electrode was prepared by mixing $LiCoO_2$ powder with the Teflon binder and carbon powder to produce an electrode paste which was then pressed onto a

^{*} Corresponding author



Fig. 1. The cyclic voltammogram of tungsten electrode in room temperature molten salt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.15). Scan rate: 20 mV/s. Reference electrode: aluminum in acidic melt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃ = 1/1.5). Counter-electrode: aluminum. Initial potential: 0 V.

tungsten foil under pressure at 20 kg/cm². The electrode fabricated was then dried at 140° C for 10 h before use.

The electrochemical experiments were performed using the EG&G PAR Model 263 Potentiostat and Model 5210 Lock-in Amplifier. A three-electrode test cell was assembled using either tungsten, aluminium, carbon or LiCoO_2 as the working electrode, aluminum as the counter-electrode, and aluminum wire inserted into the acidic melt (molar ratio MeEtImCl/AlCl₃ = 1.0/1.5) as the reference electrode.

 27 Al nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopic study was performed using the JEOL GSX-300 FT NMR spectrometer. AlCl₃–D₂O solution (10 wt.%) sealed in 5



Fig. 2. The cyclic voltammogram of tungsten electrode in room temperature molten salt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.15) with the addition of C₆H₅SO₂Cl (0.05 mol/kg). Scan rate: 20 mV/s. Reference electrode: aluminum in acidic melt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃ = 1/1.5). Counter-electrode: aluminum. Initial potential: 0.5 V.



Fig. 3. The multiple cyclic voltammogram of aluminum electrode in room temperature molten salt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.15) with the addition of C₆H₅SO₂Cl (0.05 mol/kg). Scan rate: 20 mV/s. Reference electrode: aluminum in acidic melt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃ = 1/1.5). Counter-electrode: aluminum. Initial potential: 0 V.

cm length capillary tubes was used as the ²⁷Al external standard for calibration.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The MeEtImCl / $AlCl_3 \cdot LiAlCl_4$ melt

To investigate the electrochemical window, an inert tungsten working electrode was used to obtain the cyclic voltammogram using the RTMS. The results (Fig. 1) indicated an anodic limit at 2.5 V and a cathodic limit at -2.35 V, with an electrochemical window of 4.85 V. The anodic current is attributed to the oxidation of the AlCl⁻₄ anion and the cathodic limit, the reduction of MeEtIm⁺. The cyclic voltammogram is noted for the absence of a reverse peak, though a deposition was observed at -2.1 V. The deposition peak is attributed due to the co-deposition of Li/Al alloy and the absence of stripping due to the chemical interaction which occurred between the reactive Li/Al alloy with other chemical substances present in the melt.



Fig. 4. The comparison of the ²⁷Al NMR spectra for Q1: RTMS alone (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.1) and Q2: RTMS with the addition of C₆H₅SO₂Cl (10% by molar). External standard: AlCl₃ in D₂O (10%). Temperature: 25°C.



Fig. 5. The typical extraction and intercalation curves of the LiCoO₂ electrode in the RTMS with the addition of $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ (0.05 mol/kg). LiCoO₂ electrode: LiCoO₂ (85 wt.%)+graphite (10 wt.%)+Teflon (5 wt.%). RTMS: MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.15. Current density = 1.0 mA/cm². Reference electrode: aluminum in acidic melt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃ = 1/1.5). Counter-electrode: aluminum.

In order to reduce the chemical interaction, $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ was added to the melt. The following changes were observed: (1) the colour of the molten salt changed from light brown to deep brown, indicating the occurrence of chemical reaction in the molten salt; (2) no darkening of fresh lithium metal surface was observed upon its insertion into the melt, indicating that the molten salt was stabilized by the additive; and (3) a stripping peak appeared at -1.6 V in the cyclic voltammogram as given in Fig. 2. The above results show that the reversibility of the lithium electrode in the RTMS in the presence of the additive is greatly improved. Multiple cycling of the aluminium electrode gave highly repetitive voltammograms (Fig. 3), and hence, indicating the reversibility of the lithium-aluminum electrode upon electrochemical cycling in the presence of the additive.

In order to understand the chemical interaction of the $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ additive, the ²⁷Al NMR spectra for the acidic molten salt with and without the addition of $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ were recorded Two peaks were found at chemical shift of 97 ppm and 102 ppm as shown in Fig. 4, indicating the existence of the AlCl₄ and Al₂Cl₇ anions in the molten salt. After the addition of $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ (10 wt.%), the peak at 97 ppm disappeared, indicating the occurrence of a

chemical reaction in the melt. The chemical reaction may involve the following reaction of the additive with the $Al_2Cl_7^-$ anions:

$$Al_2Cl_7^- + C_6H_5SO_2Cl \rightarrow 2AlCl_4^- + C_6H_5SO_2^+.$$
 (1)

In the above reaction, $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ is acting as a Lewis base and its reaction with $Al_2Cl_7^-$ anion will reduce the chemical reactivity of the melt, which is mainly governed by the reactive $Al_2Cl_7^-$ anion. When a lithium foil was immersed in pure $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ for several days, a gray outer layer was observed. Results of chemical analysis showed that it was LiCl. The formation of the surface layer is due to the strong oxidising power of $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ which could react with the lithium electrode to produce a protective LiCl film. Formation of a passivated film at the electrode surface was further supported by the AC impedance results which gave a continued increase of the interface resistance of the lithium electrode upon cycling.

3.2. The $Li_{1-x}CoO_2$ electrode

Fig. 5 shows the extraction and intercalation curve of the $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2$ electrode in the RTMS using different carbon materials as the current collector at a constant current of 1 mA/cm². Table 1 gives the variation of the extraction and intercalation capacities of the $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2$ electrode upon cycling. The results indicate a much higher extraction and intercalation capacities of the electrodes in the RTMS as compared to those obtained in 1 M LiCIO_4/PC at the first cycle [10]. Possible electrochemical reactions are given in Eqs. (2) and (3).

$$LiCoO_2 \Leftrightarrow Li_{1-x}CoO_2 + xLi^+ + xe^-, \qquad (2)$$

$$\operatorname{AlCl}_{4}^{-} + nC \Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{AlCl}_{4})C_{n} + e^{-}.$$
 (3)

The cyclic voltammogram of the graphite electrode (Fig. 6) shows poor reversible intercalation of $AlCl_4^-$ into graphite and this accounts for the loss of capacity at the first cycle. Out of various carbon materials studied (Table 1), the more amorphous acetylene black electrode gave the lowest coulombic efficiency for both the first and the 20th cycle, whereas graphite electrode with a layer structure gave the highest coulombic efficiency for both cycles. The variation of the specific capacity upon cycling was given in Fig. 7. The results indicate that the loss of specific capacity was gradually reduced upon cycling and stable

Table 1

The variation of the extraction and intercalation capacities upon cycling of the $Li_{1-x}CoO_2$ electrode in the RTMS using different current collectors at 1 mA/cm² constant current

Cycle number	Capacity and coulombic efficiency	$\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2$ electrodes mixed with			
		Graphite	Petroleum coke	Acetylene black	
1st	Extraction capacity (mAh/g)	240	335	450	
	Intercalation capacity (mAh/g)	141	131	116	
	Coulombic efficiency (%)	58.8	39.1	25.8	
20th	Extraction capacity (mAh/g)	151	150	141	
	Intercalation capacity (mAh/g)	138	127	113	
	Coulombic efficiency (%)	91	84	80	



Fig. 6. The cyclic voltammogram of graphite electrode in room temperature molten salt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.15) with the addition of C₆H₅SO₂Cl (0.05 mol/kg). Scan rate 5 mV/s. Reference electrode: aluminum in acidic melt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃ = 1/1.5). Counter-electrode: aluminum. Initial potential: 0 V.



Fig. 8. The variation of the cell voltage of the LiAl/LiCoO₂ battery using the RTMS as the electrolyte media with the addition of $C_6H_5SO_2CI$ (0.05 mol/kg) at first cycle. Positive electrode: LiCoO₂ (85 wt.%)+ graphite (10 wt.%)+Teflon (5 wt.%). RTMS: MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.15. Current density = 1.0 mA/cm².

extraction/intercalation curves and over 90% coulombic efficiency were obtained after the initial five cycles.

3.3. The LiAl / $Li_{1-x}CoO_2$ battery system

To study the cycling behaviour of the LiAl|RTMS|Li_{1-x}CoO₂ battery system, an electrochemical cell was assembled in the discharged state using pure aluminium and LiCoO₂ electrode (LiCoO₂: 85 wt.%, graphite: 10 wt.%, Teflon: 5 wt.%) in the RTMS. Upon initial charging for a few cycles at 1 mA/cm², the positive electrode (Li_{1-x}CoO₂) and the negative electrode (LiAl) were formed. The cycling life and specific capacity of the battery were then determined at 1.0 mA/cm² at a charging



Fig. 7. The variation of the extraction and intercalation capacities of the $LiCoO_2$ electrode upon cycling in the RTMS with the addition of $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ (0.05 mol/kg). $LiCoO_2$ electrode: $LiCoO_2$ (85 wt.%)+ graphite (10 wt.%)+Teflon (5 wt.%). RTMS: MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.15. Current density = 1.0 mA/cm². Reference electrode: aluminum in acidic melt (MeEtImCl/AlCl₃ = 1/1.5). Counter-electrode: aluminum.

limit of 4.2 V and a discharging limit of 2.9 V (Fig. 8). The average discharging voltage was 3.45 V. When only active $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2$ material was used for calculation, the maximum specific discharging capacity was 112 mA h/g. Results showing the variation of the battery voltage upon cycling was shown in Fig. 9. Lower coulombic efficiencies were found at the initial few cycles. As similar observations were observed in the basic electrochemical study using $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2$ as the working electrode, the results indicate that $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2$ is the limiting electrode in the overall battery system. Moreover, the coulombic efficiencies obtained in the battery system are slightly lower than the $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2$ electrode alone, indicating the occurrence of other electrochemical reactions leading to the reduction in the coulombic efficiency, though their effects are much



Fig. 9. The variation of the charging and discharging capacities of the LiAl/LiCoO₂ battery upon cycling using the RTMS as the electrolyte medium with the addition of $C_6H_5SO_2Cl$ (0.05 mol/kg). Positive electrode: LiCoO₂ (85 wt.%)+graphite (10 wt.%)+Teflon (5 wt.%). RTMS: MeEtImCl/AlCl₃/LiCl = 1.0/1.2/0.15. Current density = 1.0 mA/cm².

less as compared to the $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2$ electrode. Current work is undertaken to investigate the effect of long-term cycling and high current charging/discharging using the RTMS battery system.

4. Conclusions

To investigate the applicability of the 1-methyl-3-ethylimidazolium chloride/AlCl₃ · LiAlCl₄ RTMS system for lithium ion battery use, electrochemical study of the melt, with and without the addition of C₆H₅SO₂Cl, was performed. The stability of the neutral molten salt and the reversibility of the lithium electrode in the melt were shown to be greatly improved by the addition of C₆H₅SO₂Cl. The improvement was attributed to the removal of $Al_2Cl_7^-$ in the melt. The electrochemical reaction of the $Li_{1-x}CoO_2$ electrode was studied and the carbon current collector was found to interact with the melt. Out of the various carbon materials studied, graphite was found to give the best performance. A LiAl/Li_{1-x}CoO₂ battery using RTMS as the electrolyte was assembled for cycling test. Satisfactory results were obtained in the preliminary cycling, showing an average cell voltage of 3.45 V with better than 90% coulombic efficiency and a discharging capacity of 112 mAh/g at current density of 1 mA/cm². Current work is undertaken to investigate the effect of long-term cycling and high current charging/discharging on the RTMS battery system.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the Committee of the Hong Kong University Research and Conference Grants and the Hong Kong Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (HKU 302/93E) on the above project.

References

- J. Fuller, R.T. Carlin, R.A. Osteryoung, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 3881.
- [2] R.T. Carlin, J. Fuller, W.K. Kuhn et al., J. Appl. Electrochem. 20 (1996) 1147.
- [3] M. Lipsztajn, R.A. Osteryoung, Inorg. Chem. 24 (1985) 716.
- [4] B.J. Piersma, D.M. Ryan et al., J. Electrochem. Soc. 143 (1996) 908.
- [5] J. Fuller, R. Osteryoung et al., J. Electrochem. Soc. 142 (1995) 3632.
- [6] Y.S. Fung, S.M. Chau, Inorg. Chem. 34 (1995) 2371.
- [7] R.T. Carlin, J. Fuller et al., J. Appl. Electrochem. 26 (1996) 1147.
- [8] Y.S. Fung, Y.L. Zhang, Proc. Symp. Electrochem. Sci. Technol., Hong Kong, Aug. 24–26, K3-1, 1995.
- [9] Y.S. Fung, S.M. Chau, J. Electrochem. Soc. 23 (1993) 346.
- [10] J.N. Reimers, J.R. Dahn, J. Electrochem. Soc. 139 (1992) 2091.